NORTHWESTERN STATE UNIVERSITY UNCLASSIFIED STAFF EVALUATION RATING FORM

Date:

Name of Employee	Position	Evaluator

DIRECTIONS: Rate the named employee on each of the items. Circle the number which best represents the employee's performance on each criterion.

If the criterion dose not apply or there is insufficient information available to make a judgment, please mark"0". An attachment should accompany this form with an explanation of any "0" or "1" rating given to a particular item.

RATING SCALE:

- 0 *Not Applicable:* does not apply or there is insufficient information available to evaluate.
- 1 *Performance is unacceptable:* consistently performs assigned task at the minimally accepted standards required for the position. Improvement in performance is necessary for continuation of employment.
- 2 *Performance is acceptable:* consistently performs assigned task at the minimally accepted standards required for the position.
- 3 *Performance is acceptable:* consistently exceeds the accepted standards for performance of assigned task required for the position.
- 4 *Performance is excellent:* always exceeds the accepted standards for performance of assigned task required for the position.
- 5 *Performance is superior:* always exceeds the accepted standards for performance of assigned task in an exemplary manner.

JOB PERFORMANCE:

	 JOB PERFORMANCE: Concept of Job Goals and Objectives Job Knowledge Quality of Work Quantity of Work 	Realistic Appropriate Appropriate High Quality High Productivity	ý	5 5 5 5 5 5 5	4 4 4 4 4	3 3 3 3 3 3	2 2 2 2 2 2	1 1 1 1	0 0 0 0 0	Unrealistic Inappropriate Inappropriate Low Quality Low Productivity
Total Score			ore	/5 x 3.0 = Average Rating						
	LEADERSHIP: 6. Ability to Evaluate 7. Management of Effectiveness 8. Planning and Implementation	Realistic Effective Superior		5 5 5	4 4 4	3 3 3	2 2 2	1 1 1	$\begin{smallmatrix} 0\\0\\0\\0\end{smallmatrix}$	Unrealistic Ineffective Inferior
		Total Score $\/3 \ge 3.0 =$ Average Rating $\$								
	ATTITUDE - WORK HABITS: 9. Dependability and Punctuality 10.Personal Relationships 11.Meeting Public 12.Initiative and Creativeness 13.Receptive to Change	Reliable Effective Satisfactory Assertive Open		5 5 5 5 5 5 5	4 4 4 4 4	3 3 3 3 3	2 2 2 2 2 2	1 1 1 1	0 0 0 0 0	Unrealistic Ineffective Unsatisfactory Tentative Closed
			Total Sc	ore	_/5 x 3.0	= Avera	ge Rating	5		
	PROFESSIONAL IMPROVEMI 14.Professional Improvement	Active		5	4	3	2	1	0	Inactive
			Total Sc	ore	_ x 1.5 =	Average	Rating_			
	UNIVERSITY SERVICE: 15.University Service	Active		5	4	3	2	1	0	Inactive
			Total Sc	ore Overall]	$\mathbf{x} 1.5 =$ Rating C	Average	e Rating _ to Neare	st Hundro	edth	_

Signature of Employee

Date

Signature of Evaluator

NORTHWESTERN STATE UNIVERSITY UNCLASSIFIED STAFF EVALUATION

Name of Employee Being Evaluated (Last)			
(Last)	(First)	(Middle Initial)	
Position Title			
Department/Budget Unit in Which He/She is H	Employed		
EVALUATOR'S COMMENTS: Indicate th improvement is needed. Outline specific step Please discuss factors which are unique to (Attach additional pages if necessary.)	he employee's assets and chi ps which may be taken to eff the employee's position and	ef abilities in his/her present position as ect improvement in the employee's admin which are not covered by items on the o	well as areas in which istrative performance. evaluation instrument.
Evaluation Made By Evaluator's Signature (Required)			
Discussed with employee	Date		
EMPLOYEES COMMENTS: What are you (Attach additional pages if necessary.)	r views of your performance	? To what degree do you concur or not con	<pre>cur with this appraisal.</pre>
*Employee's Signature REVIEWING AUTHORITY'S COMMENT			_
Signature of Reviewing Authority*To be completed only if this is an evaluation	Dat		
10 00 completed only if this is an evaluation	of a supervisor of an employ	the who reports uncerry to min/ner.	

**After completing the EMPLOYEE'S COMMENTS section and signing, the employee should return the completed evaluation form this/her supervisor within two working days.