English. To demonstrate writing as a purpose-driven process of communication within specific contexts.

Prepared by: Jennifer Enoch, Program Coordinator Date: June 18, 2025

Approved by: Dr. Greg Handel, Provost Date: June 18, 2025

Northwestern State Mission: Northwestern State University is a responsive, student-oriented institution committed to acquiring, creating, and disseminating knowledge through innovative teaching, research, and service. With its certificate, undergraduate, and graduate programs, Northwestern State University prepares its increasingly diverse student population to contribute to an inclusive global community with a steadfast dedication to improving our region, state, and nation.

Northwestern Core Curriculum: In January 2018, under the direction of the Provost, the General Education Committee completed a deliberate and systematic 18-month review of the University Core Curriculum to ensure its alignment with policy 2.16 Statewide General Education Requirements, Louisiana Board of Regents. As a result, the University modified its General Education Core Curriculum ensuring the courses selected were introductory, survey, or appreciation courses and not tied to a specific degree program. The current broad-based core curriculum leverages six key competencies central to the University's mission and is consistent with the Louisiana Board of Regents' requirements for general education. The selection of courses encompasses the knowledge and abilities that Northwestern believes are essential to college graduates.

The requirements are designed to improve students' writing and speaking; provide students with mathematical skills at the level of college algebra and above; strengthen students' understanding of biological, physical, social, and behavioral sciences; and develop an appreciation and knowledge of the arts and humanities. These courses provide the breadth of a student's educational program while the degree program requirements provide the depth of education. The goal of the core curriculum is for undergraduate students, depending on their respective degree program, to obtain appropriate learning outcomes for this general education competency.

Purpose: The purpose of the English Core Competency is to improve students' writing ability. The two-course sequence of English 1010: Rhetoric and Composition I and English 1020: Rhetoric and Composition II aim to develop students' writing ability so that they can demonstrate writing as a purpose-driven process of communication within specific contexts. The knowledge and skills developed through the first-year writing sequence are applicable to the wide variety of writing students will do in upper-level courses and in the workplace.

Methodology:

1. The instructor(s) will collect one portfolio of written work including a reflective letter from each student enrolled in English 1010 in the fall semesters and English 1020 in the spring semesters.

- 2. The instructor(s) will assess the student portfolios using the course-appropriate rubrics appended to this document to determine how well the applicable outcomes are met.
- 3. The assessor(s) will collect rubric-based data from the instructors and analyze the programmatic data to determine whether the applicable outcomes are met.
- 4. Faculty will meet during the fall on-call week to discuss the results and determine the actions that need to be taken in response to the evaluation. Individual meetings will be held with faculty during on-call week, if necessary. The Writing Program Administrator, in consultation with faculty and department advisory committee, will propose changes to measurable outcomes, assessment tools for the next period, and, where needed, curriculum and program changes.

Student Learning Outcomes (SLO).

SLO 1. Students will write a variety of types of texts for diverse audiences, purposes, and contexts.

Measure 1.1 (Direct – Skill)

Target: 80% of student portfolios assessed will score 2 (acceptable) or higher on Rubric 1.1.

At the end of each fall semester, instructors will evaluate student portfolios from all students enrolled in English 1010 that semester, using the standardized rubric 1.1 (attached). Portfolios should consist of student selections of 1) at least two of the formal essays students have written during semester with some evidence of the writing process that led to those essays, 2) at least three informal pieces, such as in-class work or homework that students have written during the semester, and 3) a reflective letter. At least one assignment should evidence collaboration with classmates (e.g., peer review, group assignment). This portfolio should demonstrate the student's ability to compose a variety of types of texts for diverse audiences, purposes, and contexts and will be evaluated based on Rubric 1.1 (attached), which is based on the ENGL 1010 course objectives. At minimum, the contents should include:

- Reflective Statement
- Formal Writing Assignment #1: Final Version and Evidence of Process
- Formal Writing Assignment #2: Final Version and Evidence of Process
- Informal Writing #1
- Informal Writing #2
- Informal Writing #3

At least 80% of students evaluated will score a 2 (acceptable) or higher on the evaluation.

Course Name (# of students)	Methodology	Target	Term
ENGL 1010 (984)	Portfolio	80%	Fall 2024

Findings:

AY 2024-2025 895/984* student assignments (90.9%) scored 2+. Target met. AY 2023-2024 706/768** student assignments (92%) scored 2+. Target met.

Analysis:

In AY 2023-2024, the target was met with 91.9% (706/768) of students evaluated scoring a 2 or higher on the assessment, an increase of 1.5% over AY 2022-2023. Students performed well on this measure in general; however, looking at specific rubric items suggested that students continued to be less successful in two areas across the program: 1010.8: Understand basic rhetorical strategies used in the development of writing and 1010.5: Write with respect to expectations of genre, format, structure, style, and surface features appropriate to the writer's context. In AY 2023-2024, the 768 students assessed represented 83% (738/925) of the students enrolled. It is also worth noting that the dual enrolled courses assessed represent 77% (14/18) of dual enrollment courses offered: 100% (7/7) of courses taught by faculty directly employed by NSU reported data, while 82% (9/11) of courses taught in high schools reported data.

Based on the analysis of the AY 2023-2024 results, the faculty made the following changes in AY 2024-2025 to drive the cycle of improvement. Targeted training was provided in integrating rhetorical analysis and genre awareness in ENGL 1010 in order to drive student success in measures 1010.8 and 1010.5. The portfolio assignment and assessment rubric were streamlined in order to clarify its pedagogical purpose for students and, by extension, increase their participation.

As a result, in AY 2024-2025, the target was met with 90.9% (895/984) of students evaluated scored 2 or higher on the assessment, an overall decrease of 1% from AY 2023-2024. Students performed well on this measure in general. This year, no individual rubric item stood out as a significant area of concern across the program. The focused training on rhetorical analysis and genre awareness in AY 2024-2025 was effective in addressing student success in measures 1010.8 and 1010.5.

Further, in AY 2024-2025, the 984 students assessed represented 84.8% (984/1161) of students enrolled, an increase of 1.8% from AY 2023-2025. The streamlining of the portfolio assignment resulted in greater student participation; although the overall percentage of students who participated in assessment saw a small increase, the number of students who finished the course but did not turn in a portfolio decreased from 48% of all students enrolled in ENGL 1010 but not assessed in AY 2023-2024 to 45% in AY 2024-2025. It is also worth noting that the dual enrollment courses assessed represent 81.8% of dual enrollment courses offered: 100% (8/8) of courses taught by

^{*}Results include 516 dual enrollment students.

^{**}Results include 381 dual enrollment students.

faculty directly employed by NSU reported data, while 71% (10/14) of courses taught in high schools reported data. The number of non-NSU employed high school instructors reporting data decreased 11% from Fall 2023. This decrease may be due to a revision of the portfolio assignment and assessment rubric that took place in Fall 2024. Training was increased in the new format for Spring 2025.

Decision:

Findings from AY 2024-2025 provide evidence that the English program successfully fulfills SLO 1 through Measure 1.1, with 90.9% of students scoring 2+ on the rubric, a number consistent with AY 2023-2024 results. In AY 2024-2025, 84.8% (984/1161) of students in ENGL 1010 in the Fall 2024 were evaluated, an increase of 1.8% from AY 2023-2024.

Based on the analysis of the AY 2024-2025 results, the faculty will implement the following changes in AY 2025-2026 in order to drive the cycle of improvement. Training in the teaching of rhetorical analysis and genre awareness will be refined to address any continued challenges in those areas. Training on the streamlined portfolio assignment and assessment rubric will be refined to increase student participation and pedagogical effectiveness. Outreach to dual enrollment instructors working in the K-12 setting will focus on supporting those instructors not currently submitting reports.

Furthermore, based on the analysis of these results, the Department will maintain the expectation that 80% of students will score a 2 (acceptable) or higher on the evaluation in AY 2025-2026. Based on the analysis of the AY 2024-2025 results, the following changes will be implemented to drive improvement in AY 2025-2026. Refined training will be provided to further assist faculty in collecting and reporting assessment data. Additional outreach will be made to encourage dual enrollment instructors working in the K-12 setting to submit assessment reports. These changes will improve the student's ability to rhetorically analyze various media, to write effectively in specific genres, and to understand the pedagogical purpose of the portfolio assignment, thereby continuing to push the cycle of improvement forward.

Measure 1.2 (Indirect – Reflection)

Target: 80% of student portfolio letters assessed will score 2 (acceptable) or higher on Rubric 1.2.

At the end of each fall semester, instructors will evaluate student portfolio letters from all students enrolled in English 1010 that semester, using the standardized rubric 1.2 (attached). The portfolio letter should be a reflection on the student's work from the semester as it is evidenced in the portfolio. Students should describe their composing processes, explain what writing they have done over the course of semester, referencing each of the samples in the portfolio as well as other work from the semester, and reflect on their development as a writer through the coursework. Ultimately, the letter should demonstrate that students have thought carefully about their writing as both completed products and active processes completed in response to

specific contexts and will be evaluated based on Rubric 1.2 (attached), which evaluates reported student learning based on the ENGL 1010 course objectives. At least 80% of students evaluated will score a 2 (acceptable) or higher on the evaluation.

Course Name (# of students)	Methodology	Target	Term
ENGL 1010 (984)	Portfolio Letter	80%	Fall 2024

Findings:

AY 2024/2025 884/984* student assignments (89.8%) scored 2+. Target met. AY 2023/2024 618/718** student assignments (86%) scored 2+. Target met.

Analysis:

In AY 2023-2024, the target was met with 86.1% (618/718) of students evaluated scoring a 2 or higher on the assessment, a decrease of 4% from AY 2022-2023. Students performed well on this measure in general; however, looking at specific rubric items suggested that students continued to be less successful in one area across the program: 1010.8: Understand basic rhetorical strategies used in the development of writing. In AY 2023-2024, the 718 students assessed represented 77.6% (718/925) of the students enrolled. It is also worth noting that the dual enrolled courses assessed represent 72% (13/18) of dual enrollment courses offered: 100% (7/7) of courses taught by faculty directed employed by NSU reported data, while 72% (8/11) of courses taught in high schools reported data.

Based on the analysis of the AY 2023-2024 results, the faculty made the following changes in AY 2024-2025. Additional training on the teaching of rhetorical analysis was provided. The portfolio assignment and assessment rubric were streamlined in order to clarify its pedagogical purpose for students, and, by extension, increase their participation.

In AY 2024-2025, the target was met with 89.8% (884/984) of students evaluated scoring a 2 or higher on the assessment, an increase of 3.8% from AY 2023-2024. Students performed well on this measure in general. This year, no individual rubric item stood out as a significant area of concern across the program. The targeted training in rhetorical analysis was effective in addressing student success in measure 1010.8.

As a result, in AY 2024-2025, the 984 students assessed represented 84.8% (984/1161) of students enrolled, an increase of 1.8% from AY 2023-2024. The streamlining of the portfolio assignment resulted in greater student participation; although the overall percentage of students who participated in assessment saw a small increase, the number of students who did not turn in a portfolio decreased from 48% of all students enrolled in ENGL 1010 but not assessed in AY 2023-2024 to 45% in AY 2024-2025. It is also worth noting that the dual enrollment courses assessed represent 81.9% of dual enrollment courses offered: 100% (8/8) of courses taught by faculty

^{*}Results include 516 dual enrollment students.

^{**}Results include 331 dual enrollment students.

directly employed by NSU reported data, while 71% (10/14) of courses taught in high schools reported data. The number of non-NSU employed high school instructors reporting data decreased by 11% from AY 2023-2024. This decrease may be due to a revision of the portfolio assignment and assessment rubric that took place in Fall 2024. Training was increased in the new format for Spring 2025.

Decision:

Findings from AY 2024-2025 provide evidence that the English program successfully fulfills SLO 1 through Measure 1.1, with 89.8% of students scoring 2+ on the rubric, an increase of 3.8% from AY 2023-2024 results. In AY 2024-2025, 84.8% (984/1161) of students in ENGL 1010 were evaluated, an increase of 1.8% from AY 2023-2024.

Based on the analysis of the AY 2024-2025 results, the faculty will implement the following changes in AY 2025-2026 in order to drive the cycle of improvement. Training in the teaching of rhetorical analysis and genre awareness will be refined to address any continued challenges in those areas. Training on the streamlined portfolio assignment will be refined to increase student participation and pedagogical effectiveness. Outreach to dual enrollment instructors working in the K-12 setting will focus on supporting those instructors not currently submitting reports.

Furthermore, based on the analysis of these results, the Department will maintain the expectation that 80% of students will score a 2 (acceptable) or higher on the evaluation in AY 2025-2026. Based on the analysis of the AY 2024-2025 results, the following changes will be implemented to drive improvement in AY 2025-2026. Refined training will be provided to further assist faculty in collecting and reporting assessment data. Additional outreach will be made to encourage dual enrollment instructors working in the K-12 setting to submit assessment reports. These changes will improve the student's ability to rhetorically analyze various media, to write effectively in specific genres, and to understand the pedagogical purpose of the portfolio assignment, thereby continuing to push the cycle of improvement forward.

SLO 2. Students will perform writing as a process of planning, researching, prewriting, drafting, evaluating, and revising to develop and strengthen their compositions.

Measure 2.1 (Direct – Skill)

Target: 80% of student portfolios assessed will score 2 (acceptable) or higher on Rubric 2.1.

At the end of each spring semester, instructors will evaluate student portfolios from all students enrolled in English 1020 that semester, using the standardized rubric 2.1 (attached). This portfolio, a process portfolio, is a collection of a student's work on the researched argument assignment that is the culmination of the English 1020 course. This collection should consist of evidence of the student's composition process, from initial planning through drafting and revision to the final version, and a reflective letter. Among this evidence should be evidence of some peer review activity. This portfolio should demonstrate the student's ability to perform writing as a purpose-driven process

that leads to a researched essay and will be evaluated based on Rubric 2.1 (attached), which is based on the ENGL 1020 course objectives. The minimum contents should include:

- Reflective Statement
- Planning Materials (e.g., freewriting, notes, idea maps, etc.)
- Shaping/Organizing Materials (e.g., thesis statements, outlines, etc.)
- Rough Draft with Comments (self-review, tutor review, and/or instructor review)
- Evidence of Collaborative Activity
- Working Bibliography of Research
- Final Version of Researched Argument Essay

At least 80% of students evaluated will score a 2 (acceptable) or higher on the evaluation.

Course Name (# of students)	Methodology	Target	Term
ENGL 1020 (820)	Portfolio	80%	Spring 2025

Findings:

AY 2024-2025 743/820* student assignments (90.7%) scored 2+. Target met. AY 2023-2024 566/609** student assignments (92.9%) scored 2+. Target met.

Analysis:

In AY 2023-2024, the target was met with 92.9% (566/609) of students evaluated scoring 2 or higher on the assessments, a slight decrease of 1.5% from AY 2022-2023. Students performed well on this measure in general; however, looking at specific rubric items suggested that students continued to be less successful in one area across the program: ENGL 1020.11 – Document and integrate research materials in their own writing in a way that clearly designates source materials as separate from, but in relation to, their own arguments. In AY 2023-2024, 87.6% (609/695) of enrolled students were assessed, an increase of 9.4% from AY 2022-2023. The percentage of dual enrollment sections assessed also increased, as 76.5% of dual enrollment sections were assessed AY 2023-2025 compared to 75% in AY 2022-2023.

Based on the analysis of the AY 2023-2024 results, the faculty made the following changes in AY 2024-2025. Targeted training was provided in source integration and attribution in order to drive student success in measure 1020.11. The portfolio assignment was streamlined in order to clarify its pedagogical purpose for students and, by extension, increase their participation.

As a result, in AY 2024-2025, the target was met with 90.7% (743/820) of students evaluated scoring 2 or higher on the assessments, a slight decrease of 2% from AY 2023-2024. Students performed well on this measure in general. This year, no individual

^{*}Results include 406 dual enrollment students.

^{**}Results include 264 dual enrollment students.

rubric item stood out as a significant area of concern across the program. The targeted training in source integration and attribution was effective in addressing student success in measure 1020.11.

Further, in AY 2024-2025, 85.4% (820/960) of enrolled students were assessed, a decrease of 2% from AY 2023-2024. The streamlining of the portfolio assignment and assessment rubric has not yet resulted in an overall increase in the percentage of students assessed; however, the number of students who did not turn in a portfolio decreased slightly from 42% of students who were enrolled in ENGL 1020 but not assessed in AY 2023-2024 to 41% in AY 2024-2025. It is also worth noting that the percental of dual enrollment sections assessed increased, as 90.4% of dual enrollment sections were assessed in AY 2024-2025, compared to 76.5% in AY 2023-2024. Training on the revised portfolio assignment and assessment rubric has been successful and will be refined for AY 2025-2026.

Decision:

Findings from AY 2024-2025 provide evidence that the English program successfully fulfills SLO 2 through Measure 2.1, with 90.7% of students scoring 2+ on the rubric. In AY 2024-2025, 85.4% (820/960) of enrolled students were assessed, a slight decrease of 2% from AY 2023-2024.

Based on the analysis of the AY 2024-2025 results, the faculty will implement the following changes in AY 2025-2026 in order to drive the cycle of improvement. Training in the teaching of source integration and attribution, a central learning outcome of ENGL 1020, will be refined to address any continued challenges in this area. Training on the streamlined portfolio assignment will be refined to increase student participation and the pedagogical effectiveness of the assignment. Outreach to dual enrollment instructors working in the K-12 setting will focus on supporting those instructors not currently submitting reports.

Furthermore, based on the analysis of these results, the Department will maintain the expectation that 80% of students will score a 2 (acceptable) or higher on the evaluation in AY 2025-2026. Based on the analysis of the AY 2024-2025 results, the following changes will be implemented to drive improvement in AY 2025-2026. Refined training will be provided to further assist faculty in collecting and reporting assessment data. Additional outreach will be made to encourage dual enrollment instructors working in the K-12 setting to submit assessment reports. These changes will improve the students' abilities to integrate and attribute sources in their writing and improve their understanding of the portfolio assignment's pedagogical purpose, thereby continuing to push the cycle of improvement forward.

Measure 2.2 (Indirect – Reflection)

Target: 80% of student portfolio letters assessed will score 2 (acceptable) or higher on Rubric 2.2.

At the end of each spring semester, instructors will evaluate student portfolio letters from all students enrolled in English 1020 that semester, using the standardized rubric 2.2 (attached). The portfolio letter should be a reflection on the student's work on the researched argument assignment as it is evidenced in the portfolio. Students should describe their composing processes, explain their rhetorical and stylistic choices, and reflect on their development as a writer through the project. Ultimately, the letter should demonstrate that students have thought carefully about their writing as both completed products and active processes completed in response to specific contexts and will be evaluated based on Rubric 2.2 (attached), which evaluates reported student learning based on the ENGL 1020 course objectives. At least 80% of students evaluated will score a 2 (acceptable) or higher on the evaluation.

Course Name (# of students)	Methodology	Target	Term
ENGL 1020 (820)	Portfolio Letter	80%	Spring 2025

Findings:

AY 2024-2025	742/820* student assignments (90.5%) scored 2+.	Target met.
AY 2023-2024	536/609** student assignments (88%) scored 2+.	Target met.

^{*}Results include 406 dual enrollment students.

Analysis:

In AY 2023-2024, the target was met with 88% (536/609) of students evaluated scoring 2 or higher on the assessments, a decrease of 3% from AY 2022-2023. Students performed well on this measure in general; however, looking at specific rubric items suggested that students continued to be less successful in two areas across the program: ENGL 1020.11 – Document and integrate research materials in their own writing in a way that clearly designates source materials as separate from, but in relation to, their own arguments and 1020.10: Synthesize research materials to situate and contextualize their own writing within the existing discourse surrounding their topic. In AY 2023-2024, 87.6% (609/695) of enrolled students were assessed, an increase of 9.4% from AY 2023-2024. The percentage of dual enrollment sections assessed also increased, as 76.5% of dual enrollment sections were assessed in AY 2023-2024 compared to 75% in AY 2022-2023.

Based on the analysis of the AY 2023-2024 results, the faculty made the following changes in AY 2024-2025. Targeted training was provided in source integration and attribution in order to drive student success in measure 1020.11 and 1020.10. The

^{**}Results include 264 dual enrollment students.

portfolio assignment was streamlined in order to clarify its pedagogical purpose for students and, by extension, increase their participation.

As a result, in AY 2024-2025, the target was met with 90.5% (742/820) of students evaluated scoring 2 or higher on the assessments, an increase of 2.5% from Spring 2024. Students performed well on this measure in general. This year, no individual rubric item stood out as a significant area of concern across the program. The focused training on source integration and attribution was effective in addressing student success in measure 1020.11 and 1020.10.

Further, in AY 2024-2025, 85.4% (820/960) of enrolled students were assessed, a decrease of 2% from AY 2024-2025. The streamlining of the portfolio assignment has not yet resulted in an overall increase in the percentage of students assessed; however, the number of students who did not turn in a portfolio decreased slightly from 42% of students who were enrolled in ENGL 1020 but not assessed in AY 2023-2024 to 41% in AY 2024-2025. It is also worth noting that the percental of dual enrollment sections assessed increased, as 90.4% of dual enrollment sections were assessed in AY 2024-2025, compared to 76.5% in AY 2023-2024. Training on the revise portfolio assignment and assessment rubric has been successful and will be refined for AY 2025-2026.

Decision:

Findings from AY 2024-2025 provide evidence that the English program successfully fulfills SLO 2 through Measure 2.1, with 90.5% of students scoring 2+ on the rubric, an increase of 2.5% from AY 2023-2024. In AY 2024-2025, 85.4% (820/960) of enrolled students were assessed, a slight decrease of 2% from AY 2023-2024.

Based on the analysis of the AY 2024-2025 results, the faculty will implement the following changes in AY 2025-2026 in order to drive the cycle of improvement. Training in the teaching of source integration and attribution, a central learning outcome of ENGL 1020, will be refined to address any continued challenges in this area. Training on the streamlined portfolio assignment will be refined to increase student participation and the pedagogical effectiveness of the assignment. Outreach to dual enrollment instructors working in the K-12 setting will focus on supporting those instructors not currently submitting reports.

Furthermore, based on the analysis of these results, the Department will maintain the expectation that 80% of students will score a 2 (acceptable) or higher on the evaluation in AY 2025-2026. Based on the analysis of the AY 2024-2025 results the following changes will be implemented to drive improvement in AY 2025-2026. Refined training will be provided to further assist faculty in collecting and reporting assessment data. Additional outreach will be made to encourage dual enrollment instructors working in the K-12 setting to submit assessment reports. These changes will improve the students' abilities to integrate and attribute sources in their writing and improve their understanding of the portfolio assignment's pedagogical purpose, thereby continuing to push the cycle of improvement forward.

Comprehensive Summary of Key Evidence of Improvements Based on Analysis of Results. The following reflects all the changes implemented in AY 2024-2025 to drive the continuous process of seeking improvement. These changes are based on the knowledge gained through the analysis of the AY 2023-2024 results.

- Targeted training in rhetorical analysis and genre awareness for instructors of ENGL 1010 and 1020.
- Targeted training in source integration and attribution for instructors of ENGL 1010 and 1020.
- Redesigned the portfolio assignment and assessment rubric in both ENGL 1010 and ENGL 1020 to help students understand the pedagogical purpose of the assignment and, in turn, increase their participation.
- Conducted training of faculty in the redesigned portfolio assignment and assessment rubric so that faculty were better prepared to administer, collect, evaluate, and report on assessments.
- Outreach to dual enrollment instructors employed by and working in K-12 settings in the use and reporting of assessment data.

Plan of Action Moving Forward. Our dedication to continual improvement for SLOs 1 and 2 will lead to the following refinements to the courses and assessments in the General Education Core Curriculum English area (ENGL 1010 and ENGL 1020 courses):

- Revised and refined training in the implementation of reading instruction, rhetorical analysis, and the use and integration of sources in order to further capitalize on the gains made in these areas in AY 2024-2025.
- Revised training in the administration, collection, evaluation, and reporting of assessment data for both SLOs and all four measures for all faculty teaching English 1010 and 1020.
- Targeted outreach to new dual enrollment instructors working in the K-12 setting and to experienced instructions who are not currently turning in assessment reports.

Attachment A:

General Education Core Curriculum: English (Core Competency #1) Assessment Rubric for Direct Assessment (SLO 1.1)

Part One: Composing Texts and Argumentation.

SLO's in this section ask that you evaluate students' development and composition of their own texts.

Standards	Target 3	Acceptable 2	Not Acceptable 1
ENGL 1010.1 – Write texts with a variety of purposes.	Demonstrates the student's ability to write effectively for a wide variety of purposes.	Demonstrates the student's ability to write for a variety of purposes.	Fails to demonstrate the student's ability to write for a variety of purposes.
ENGL 1010.4 – Write in a variety of rhetorical situations tailored to a variety of audiences.	Demonstrates the student's awareness of diverse audiences and rhetorical situations.	Demonstrates the student's awareness of audience and rhetorical situation.	Fails to demonstrate the student's awareness of audiences and rhetorical situations.
ENGL 1010.5 – Write with respect to expectations of genre, format, structure, style, and surface features appropriate to the writer's context.	Demonstrates the student's ability to recognize and meet the many expectations of their writerly contexts.	Demonstrates the student's ability to recognize and meet some expectations of their writerly contexts.	Fails to demonstrate the student's ability to recognize and meet the expectations of their writerly contexts.
ENGL 1010.3 – Construct clear thesis statements.	Demonstrates the student's ability to construct a clear thesis statement.	Demonstrates the student's ability to construct a thesis statement.	Fails to demonstrate the student's ability to construct a thesis statement.
ENGL 1010.6 – Demonstrate knowledge of the conventions of Standard American English in formal writing.	Demonstrates the student's ability to employ the conventions of Standard American English with minimal error.	Demonstrates the student's ability to employ the conventions of Standard American English.	Fails to demonstrate the student's ability to employ the conventions of Standard American English.

Part Two: Critical Thinking and Textual Engagement

SLOs in this section ask that you evaluate students' reading of and engagement with outside texts.

Standards	Target	Acceptable	Not Acceptable
	3	2	1
ENGL 1010.8 -	Demonstrates the	Demonstrates the	Fails to
Understand basic	student's ability to	student's ability to	demonstrate the
		understand and	student's ability to
used in the development	rhetorical strategies	employ rhetorical	understand and
of writing.	effectively in their	strategies in their	employ rhetorical
	writing.	writing.	strategies in their
			writing.

ENGL 1010.7 – Identify,	Demonstrates the	Demonstrates the	Fails to
understand, and discuss	student's ability to	student's ability to	demonstrate the
textual features and	recognize and discuss	recognize and	student's ability to
strategies and how they	elements in their own	discuss elements in	recognize and
function as agents of		their own writing and	
effective	others and how those	the writing of others.	
	elements affect an		the writing of others.
	author's ability to		
	communicate effectively		
	and efficiently.		

Part Three: Processes and Technologies
SLOs in this section ask that you evaluate students' abilities to create a writing process.

Standards	Target	Acceptable	Not Acceptable
Staridards	raiget	Acceptable	Not Acceptable
	3	2	1
ENGL 1010.2 –	Demonstrates the	Demonstrates the	Fails to demonstrate
Understand and	student's varied use of	student's use of	the student's use of
develop flexible	strategies for planning,	strategies for	strategies for planning,
strategies for writing	drafting, and revising	planning, drafting, and	drafting, and revising
as an open process.	when composing.	revising when	when composing.
		composing.	
ENGL 1010.9 -	Demonstrates the	Demonstrates the	Fails to demonstrate
Practice collaboration.	student's ability to	student's ability to	the student's ability to
	work productively with	work with their	work with their
	their classmates.	classmates.	classmates.
ENGL 1010.10 -	Demonstrates the	Demonstrates the	Fails to demonstrate
Employ digital	student's ability to	student's ability to	the student's ability to
technologies that aid	compose and produce	produce products	compose or produce
in writing.	products using digital	using digital	products using digital
	technologies.	technologies.	technologies.

Attachment B:

General Education Core Curriculum: English (Core Competency #1) Assessment Rubric for Indirect Assessment (SLO 1.2)

Part One: Composing Texts and Argumentation.

SLO's in this section ask that you evaluate students' development and composition of their own texts.

10/1101			
Standards	Target 3	Acceptable 2	Not Acceptable 1
ENGL 1010.1 – Write texts with a variety of purposes.	Demonstrates the student's ability to write effectively for a wide variety of purposes.	Demonstrates the student's ability to write for a variety of purposes.	Fails to demonstrate the student's ability to write for a variety of purposes.
ENGL 1010.4 – Write in a variety of rhetorical situations tailored to a variety of audiences.	Demonstrates the student's awareness of diverse audiences and rhetorical situations.	Demonstrates the student's awareness of audience and rhetorical situation.	Fails to demonstrate the student's awareness of audiences and rhetorical situations.
ENGL 1010.5 – Write with respect to expectations of genre, format, structure, style, and surface features appropriate to the writer's context.	Demonstrates the student's ability to recognize and meet the many expectations of their writerly contexts.	Demonstrates the student's ability to recognize and meet some expectations of their writerly contexts.	Fails to demonstrate the student's ability to recognize and meet the expectations of their writerly contexts.
ENGL 1010.3 – Construct clear thesis statements.	Demonstrates the student's ability to construct a clear thesis statement.	Demonstrates the student's ability to construct a thesis statement.	Fails to demonstrate the student's ability to construct a thesis statement.
ENGL 1010.6 – Demonstrate knowledge of the conventions of Standard American English in formal writing.	Demonstrates the student's ability to employ the conventions of Standard American English with minimal error.	Demonstrates the student's ability to employ the conventions of Standard American English.	Fails to demonstrate the student's ability to employ the conventions of Standard American English.

Part Two: Critical Thinking and Textual Engagement

SLOs in this section ask that you evaluate students' reading of and engagement with outside texts.

Standards	Target	Acceptable	Not Acceptable
	3	2	1
ENGL 1010.8 -	Demonstrates the	Demonstrates the	Fails to
Understand basic	student's ability to	student's ability to	demonstrate the
rhetorical strategies	understand and employ	understand and	student's ability to
used in the development		employ rhetorical	understand and
of writing.	effectively in their	strategies in their	employ rhetorical
	writing.	writing.	strategies in their
			writing.

ENGL 1010.7 – Identify,	Demonstrates the	Demonstrates the	Fails to
understand, and discuss	student's ability to	student's ability to	demonstrate the
textual features and	recognize and discuss	recognize and	student's ability to
strategies and how they	elements in their own	discuss elements in	recognize and
function as agents of		their own writing and	
effective	others and how those	the writing of others.	their own writing and
communication.	elements affect an		the writing of others.
	author's ability to		
	communicate effectively		
	and efficiently.		

Part Three: Processes and Technologies
SLOs in this section ask that you evaluate students' abilities to create a writing process.

OLOS III tilis section as	it inat you evaluate stude	crito abilitico to orcate a	writing process.
Standards	Target	Acceptable	Not Acceptable
	3	2	1
ENGL 1010.2 -	Demonstrates the	Demonstrates the	Fails to demonstrate
Understand and	student's varied use of	student's use of	the student's use of
develop flexible	strategies for planning,	strategies for	strategies for planning,
strategies for writing	drafting, and revising	planning, drafting, and	drafting, and revising
as an open process.	when composing.	revising when	when composing.
		composing.	_
ENGL 1010.9 -	Demonstrates the	Demonstrates the	Fails to demonstrate
Practice collaboration.	student's ability to	student's ability to	the student's ability to
	work productively with	work with their	work with their
	their classmates.	classmates.	classmates.
ENGL 1010.10 -	Demonstrates the	Demonstrates the	Fails to demonstrate
Employ digital	student's ability to	student's ability to	the student's ability to
technologies that aid	compose and produce	produce products	compose or produce
in writing.	products using digital	using digital	products using digital
	technologies.	technologies.	technologies.

Attachment C: General Education Core Curriculum: English (Core Competency #1) Assessment Rubric for Direct Assessment (SLO 2.1)

Part One: Composing Texts and Argumentation that Utilize Research

SLO's in this section ask that you evaluate the student's development and composition of their own texts.

own texts.			
Standards	Target 3	Acceptable 2	Not Acceptable 1
ENGL 1020.1 – Write	Demonstrates the	Demonstrates the	Fails to demonstrate
purpose-driven,	student's ability to	student's ability to write	the student's ability to
evidence-based,	write an effective	a researched	write a researched
argumentative texts.	researched	argumentative essay.	argumentative essay.
	argumentative		
	essay.		
ENGL 1020.3 – Write	Demonstrates the	Demonstrates the	Fails to demonstrate
with awareness of	student's awareness	student's awareness of	the student's
rhetorical situations	of a specific	general audience and	awareness of audience
tailored to specific	audience and	rhetorical situation.	and rhetorical
audiences.	rhetorical situation.		situation.
ENGL 1020.9 - Gather	Demonstrates the	Demonstrates the	Fails to demonstrate
and evaluate primary	student's ability to	student's ability to	the student's ability to
and secondary	conduct research and	conduct research and	conduct research and
research materials.	collect materials from	collect materials from	collect materials from
	reliable primary and	primary and	primary and secondary
	secondary sources.	-	sources.
ENGL 1020.10 –	Demonstrates the	Demonstrates the	Fails to demonstrate
Synthesize research			the student's ability to
materials to situate and	present their own ideas	present their own ideas	present their own ideas
contextualize their own	within the larger	within the larger	within the larger
writing within the			conversation
existing discourse			surrounding the topic
surrounding their topic.	_	, ,	through synthesis of
	synthesis of	research.	research.
	research.		
ENGL 1020.11 –	Demonstrates the		Fails to demonstrate
Document and		,	the student's ability to
integrate research			document research
materials in their own		_	materials correctly
writing in a way that		according to the	according to the
clearly designates	conventions of MLA	conventions of MLA	conventions of MLA
source materials as			style, including the
separate from, but in		correct use of	correct use of
relation to, their own	·		quotations, citations,
arguments.		and Works Cited.	and Works Cited.
ENIOL 4000 4 Maii	minimal error.	Damanatust 41	Falla ta dans su stust
ENGL 1020.4 – Write			Fails to demonstrate
with respect to	1		the student's ability to
expectations of genre,		recognize and meet	recognize and meet the
format, structure, style,	the many		

and surface features appropriate to the	expectations of their writerly context.	•	expectations of their writerly context.
writer's context.	minority contexts	area witterly contexts	mileny context
ENGL 1020.5 – Demonstrate knowledge of the conventions of Standard American English in formal	Demonstrates the student's ability to employ the conventions of Standard American English with little	student's ability to employ the conventions of	Fails to demonstrate the student's ability to employ the conventions of Standard American English.
writing.	error.	Linghorn.	Liigiiori.

Part Two: Critical Thinking and Textual Engagement

SLOs in this section ask that you evaluate the student's reading of and engagement with outside texts.

		I	ı
Standards	Target	Acceptable	Not Acceptable
	3	2	1
ENGL 1020.7 – Read	Demonstrates the	Demonstrates the	Fails to demonstrate
and respond critically to	student's ability to	student's ability to	the student's ability to
a variety of texts.	read, understand, and	read, understand, and	read, understand, and
	respond to source	respond to source	respond to source
	materials in a critical	materials.	materials.
	and strategic way.		
ENGL 1020.8 – Identify,	Demonstrates the	Demonstrates the	Fails to demonstrate
understand, and discuss	student's ability to	student's ability to	the student's ability to
textual features and how	recognize and	recognize and	recognize and discuss
they function as agents	discuss elements in	discuss elements in	elements in their own
of effective	their own writing and	their own writing and	writing and the writing
communication.	the writing of others	the writing of others.	of others.
	and how those		
	elements affect the		
	ability to		
	communicate		
	effectively and		
	efficiently.		

Part Three: Processes and Technologies

SLOs in this section ask that you evaluate the student's ability to create a writing process.

Standards	Target	Acceptable	Not Acceptable
	3	2	1
ENGL 1020.2 -	Demonstrate the	Demonstrate the	Fails to demonstrate
Understand and develo	student's use of	student's use of	the student's use of
	multiple strategies for		strategies for planning,
			drafting, and revising
process.	and revising when	and revising when	when composing.
	composing.	composing.	
ENGL 1020.6 -	Demonstrates the	Demonstrates the	Fails to demonstrate
Practice collaboration.	student's ability to	student's ability to work	
		with their	work with their
	with their	classmates.	classmates.
	classmates.		

ENGL 1020.12 -	Demonstrates the	Demonstrates the	Fails to demonstrate
Employ digital	student's ability to	student's ability to	the student's ability to
technologies that aid in	compose and	produce texts using	produce texts using
research and writing.	produce texts using	digital technologies.	digital technologies.
	digital technologies.		

Attachment D:

General Education Core Curriculum: English (Core Competency #1) Assessment Rubric for Indirect Assessment (SLO 2.2)

Part One: Composing Texts and Argumentation that Utilize Research

SLO's in this section ask that you evaluate the student's development and composition of their own texts

own texts.			
Standards	Target 3	Acceptable 2	Not Acceptable 1
ENGL 1020.1 – Write	Demonstrates the	Demonstrates the	Fails to demonstrate
purpose-driven,	student's ability to	student's ability to write	the student's ability to
evidence-based,	write an effective	_	write a researched
argumentative texts.	researched	argumentative essay.	argumentative essay.
	argumentative essay.		,
ENGL 1020.3 – Write	Demonstrates the	Demonstrates the	Fails to demonstrate
with awareness of	student's awareness	student's awareness of	the student's
rhetorical situations	of a specific	general audience and	awareness of audience
tailored to specific	audience and	rhetorical situation.	and rhetorical
audiences.	rhetorical situation.		situation.
ENGL 1020.9 - Gather	Demonstrates the	Demonstrates the	Fails to demonstrate
and evaluate primary	student's ability to	student's ability to	the student's ability to
and secondary	conduct research and	conduct research and	conduct research and
research materials.	collect materials from	collect materials from	collect materials from
	reliable primary and	primary and	primary and secondary
	secondary sources.	secondary sources.	sources.
ENGL 1020.10 -	Demonstrates the	Demonstrates the	Fails to demonstrate
Synthesize research	student's ability to	student's ability to	the student's ability to
materials to situate and	present their own ideas	present their own ideas	present their own ideas
contextualize their own	within the larger	within the larger	within the larger
writing within the	conversation	conversation	conversation
existing discourse	surrounding the topic	surrounding the topic	surrounding the topic
surrounding their topic.	through effective	through synthesis of	through synthesis of
	synthesis of	research.	research.
	research.		
ENGL 1020.11 –	Demonstrates the	Demonstrates the	Fails to demonstrate
Document and	student's ability to	student's ability to	the student's ability to
integrate research	document research	document research	document research
materials in their own	materials correctly	materials correctly	materials correctly
writing in a way that	according to the	according to the	according to the
clearly designates	conventions of MLA	conventions of MLA	conventions of MLA
source materials as	style, including the	style, including the	style, including the
separate from, but in	correct use of	correct use of	correct use of
relation to, their own	quotations, citations,	quotations, citations,	quotations, citations,
arguments.		and Works Cited.	and Works Cited.
	minimal error.		
ENGL 1020.4 – Write	Demonstrates the		Fails to demonstrate
with respect to	student's ability to		the student's ability to
expectations of genre,	recognize and meet	recognize and meet	recognize and meet the
format, structure, style,	the many		

		· -	expectations of their writerly context.
Demonstrate knowledge of the conventions of Standard American English in formal	student's ability to employ the conventions of Standard American	student's ability to employ the conventions of	Fails to demonstrate the student's ability to employ the conventions of Standard American English.

Part Two: Critical Thinking and Textual Engagement

SLOs in this section ask that you evaluate the student's reading of and engagement with outside texts.

Standards	Target	Acceptable	Not Acceptable
	3	2	1
ENGL 1020.7 – Read	Demonstrates the	Demonstrates the	Fails to demonstrate
and respond critically to	student's ability to	student's ability to	the student's ability to
a variety of texts.	•	read, understand, and	read, understand, and
	respond to source	respond to source	respond to source
	materials in a critical	materials.	materials.
	and strategic way.		
ENGL 1020.8 – Identify,	Demonstrates the	Demonstrates the	Fails to demonstrate
understand, and discuss	student's ability to	student's ability to	the student's ability to
textual features and how	recognize and	recognize and	recognize and discuss
they function as agents	discuss elements in	discuss elements in	elements in their own
of effective	their own writing and	their own writing and	writing and the writing
communication.	the writing of others	the writing of others.	of others.
	and how those		
	elements affect the		
	ability to		
	communicate		
	effectively and		
	efficiently.		

Part Three: Processes and Technologies

SLOs in this section ask that you evaluate the student's ability to create a writing process.

Standards	Target	Acceptable	Not Acceptable
	3	2	1
ENGL 1020.2 -	Demonstrate the	Demonstrate the	Fails to demonstrate
Understand and develo	student's use of	student's use of	the student's use of
	multiple strategies for		strategies for planning,
			drafting, and revising
process.	and revising when	and revising when	when composing.
	composing.	composing.	
ENGL 1020.6 -	Demonstrates the	Demonstrates the	Fails to demonstrate
Practice collaboration.	student's ability to	student's ability to work	
		with their	work with their
	with their	classmates.	classmates.
	classmates.		

ENGL 1020.12 –	Demonstrates the	Demonstrates the	Fails to demonstrate
Employ digital	student's ability to	student's ability to	the student's ability to
technologies that aid in	compose and	produce texts using	produce texts using
research and writing.	produce texts using	digital technologies.	digital technologies.
	digital technologies.		