

MOTION AND TIME STUDY FOR INCREASING TIME EFFICIENCY, PRODUCTIVITY, AND SAFETY FOR THE UNLOADING FACILITY Matthew Lovelady, Nickolas Tramel, Tanner Funderburk **Department of Engineering Technology, Northwestern State University, 175 Sam Sibley Dr, Natchitoches LA 71497 Faculty Advisor: Dr. Shahriar Hossain**

Company Background

Interstate Building Materials (IBM) of Many, LA, is an independent distribution company that supplies building materials throughout Louisiana. It was founded by the owner, Kyle Martinez, in September 2014.

Abstract

•For this project, the team analyzed IBM's system for unloading doors from Louisiana Millwork. The objectives of this were to enhance the safety of the workers and eliminate the inefficiency of dealing with production and organization.

Problem Statement

The current process for unloading doors can be improved in terms of safety and efficiency.

Goals and Objectives

The goals of this project are to increase the efficiency in terms of productive time of the unloading facility while ensuring employees' safety.

1) To study the current safety issues and to ensure a safe work environment for the employees.

2) To perform a motion and time study for the unloading of doors.

3) To increase unloading efficiency in terms of time.

4) To rearrange the doors in the storage facility.

5) To verify the effectiveness and efficiency of installation for a new loading dock.

unload one door. •SIMIO software has been used to predict times by building a model with the loading dock.

Time Study

- 1.03

OT	NT	ST
1.09	1.1227	1.40
1.56	1.6068	2.01
1.37	1.4111	1.76
1.1	1.133	1.42
1.5	1.545	1.93
0.93	0.9579	1.20
1.5	1.545	1.93
1.19	1.2257	1.53
1.44	1.4832	1.85
1.22	1.2566	1.57

Observations and Results



Methodology

•A time study has been used to determine how many minutes it will take to

• The average ST was foun d to be **1.66 minutes**. • Rating (R) has been set to

Allowance (A) has been set to **.25**.

Weeks	Number of Doors	Unloadin g Time in Minutes	Minute Per Door Observed Time (OT)
Week 1	23	25	1.09
Week 2	32	50	1.56
Week 3	46	63	1.37
Week 4	51	56	1.10
Week 5	22	32	1.50
Week 6	101	94	0.93
Week 7	70	105	1.50
Week 8	26	31	1.19
Week 9	80	115	1.44
Week 10	72	88	1.22
Total	523	659	1.26
		•	

Westinghouse System

+0.15	Al	Superskill	+0.13	A1	Excessive	
+0.13	A2	Superskill	+0.12	A2	Excessive	
+0.11	B1	Excellent	+0.10	B1	Excellent	
+0.08	B2	Excellent	+0.08	B2	Excellent	
+0.06	C1	Good	+0.05	C1	Good	
+0.03	C2	Good	+0.02	C2	Good	
0.00	D	Average	0.00	D	Average	
-0.05	E1	Fair	-0.04	E 1	Fair	
-0.10	E2	Fair	-0.08	E2	Fair	
-0.16	F1	Poor	-0.12	F1	Poor	
-0.22	F2	Poor	-0.17	F2	Poor	
Table 10–4	Westinghouse Syste Ratings	em Condition	Table 10-5	Westinghouse Syste Ratings	em Consistency	
+0.06	A	Ideal	+0.04	A	Perfect	
+0.04	B	Excellent	+0.03	B	Excellent	
+0.02	Č	Good	+0.03	Č	Good	
0.00	D	Average	0.00	Ď	Average	
-0.03	Ē	Fair	-0.02	Ē	Fair	
-0.07	F	Deer	0.04	Ē	Poor	
						~
OF	Recor ILO =	nmer Internati	ndec onal La	Allo abor Offi	wanc	e
Onstant all Personal	Recor ILO =	nmer Internati	ndec onal La	Allo abor Offi	wanc	:е
Onstant all Personal	Recor ILO = lowances: l allowance.	nmer Internati	ndec onal La	Allo abor Offi	wanc	:е
Onstant all Personal Basic fa	Record ILO = lowances: l allowance. tigue allowances:	nce	ndec onal La	Allo abor Offi	wanc	5 4
Onstant all Personal Basic fa ariable all Standing	Record ILO = lowances: l allowance. tigue allowances: c allowance	Internati	ndec onal La	Allo abor Offi	wanc	5 4 2
Onstant all Persona Basic fa ariable all Standing	Record ILO = lowances: l allowance. tigue allowances: g allowance	Internati	onal La	abor Offi	wanc ce	5 4 2
Onstant all Personal Basic fa ariable all Standing te of force	Record ILO = lowances: l allowance. tigue allowances: g allowance e, or muscula	Internati	onal La	Allo abor Offi	Wanc ce	5 4 2
Onstant al Persona Basic fa ariable all Standing te of force eight lifte	Record ILO = lowances: l allowance. tigue allowance owances: g allowance e, or muscula d, lb:	Internation ince	ndec onal La	abor Offi	wanc ce	5 4 2
Onstant all Personal Basic fa riable all Standing e of force eight lifte	Record ILO = lowances: l allowance. tigue allowance owances: g allowance e, or muscula d, lb:	Internation ince	ndec onal La ting, pullin umidity)—	Allo abor Offi ng, or pushin -variable	wanc ce	5 4 2

The number of doors on the truck was represented with a triangular distribution with 23 as the minimum, 54 as the average, and 107 as the max. The predicted time was 34.86 minutes per truck with 95 % confidence.

This was approximately 30 minutes less than the observed average and was used to calculate the time savings.



Current Unloading and Organization





Engineering Economics

- Time savings equaled \$1,508 per year. \bullet
- Safety savings equaled \$210 per year.
- Total annual savings add up to \$21,718.
- The Payback Period (PBP) is approximately 11 years and 6 months.
- The Internal Rate of Return (IRR) is 10%.

Time/year (n)	Cash flow (FV)	PV	Cumulative
0	-15,638.66	-\$15,638.66	-\$15,638.0
1	1,718.00	\$1,651.92	-\$13,986.
2	1,718.00	\$1,588.39	-\$12,398.
3	1,718.00	\$1,527.30	-\$10,871.0
4	1,718.00	\$1,468.55	-\$9,402.5
5	1,718.00	\$1,412.07	-\$7,990.4
6	1,718.00	\$1,357.76	-\$6,632.6
7	1,718.00	\$1,305.54	-\$5,327.1
8	1,718.00	\$1,255.33	-\$4,071.8
9	1,718.00	\$1,207.04	-\$2,864.7
10	1,718.00	\$1,160.62	-\$1,704.1
11	1,718.00	\$1,115.98	-\$588.16
12	1,718.00	\$1,073.06	\$484.90

Conclusion

•A time study was conducted to determine the average time it took to unload one door.

•A simulation was created to predict the time of unloading the doors if a dock was installed.

•By comparing actual times to simulated times and researching injury cost, the payback period and internal rate of return of the loading dock was determined to justify construction

from an economical perspective.