Northwestern State University College of Nursing and School of Allied Health

Bachelor of Sciences in Radiologic Sciences

Student Learning Outcomes 2016-2017

Northwestern State University Mission Statement: NSU is a responsive, student-oriented institution that is committed to the creation, dissemination, and acquisition of knowledge through teaching, research, and service. The University maintains as its highest priority excellence in teaching in graduate and undergraduate programs. Northwestern State University prepares its students to become productive members of society and promotes economic development and improvements in the quality of life of the citizens in its region.

College of Nursing and School of Allied Health Mission Statement: NSU CONSAH serves the people of Louisiana and in so doing improves the health of its citizens while advancing the mission of Northwestern State University through excellence in accessible undergraduate, graduate, and continuing education programs that are designed to assist individuals in achieving their professional goals as responsible and contributing members of their profession and society.

School of Allied Health Mission Statement: The SAH at NSU is dedicated to providing high quality undergraduate and graduate programs that prepare individuals for a variety of professional healthcare roles and to be conscientious, contributing members of their profession and society.

BSRS Mission

The mission of the Radiologic Sciences Program is to provide students with advanced knowledge and skills through guided experiences and clinical practice that culminates in professional radiologic technologists becoming an integral part of the healthcare community and society.

Bachelor of Science in Radiologic Sciences Purpose and Objectives:

BSRS Program Purpose

To provide students with the education and skills to function as an integral part of the health care community and the opportunity for advancement in the allied health professions.

- To provide opportunities which will enhance the development of roles in the radiologic sciences professions
- To provide a foundation for radiologic science professionals to become lifelong learners and to strive for continued professional growth

BSRS Program Objectives

Graduates of the BSRS program should be able to:

- Perform quality radiographic procedures.
- Develop assessment skills of a radiographer.
- Evaluate a clinical situation and perform accordingly using critical thinking skills.
- Propose a plan to respond to imaging department scenarios.
- Demonstrate service to the profession and the community.
- Integrate adherence to professional behaviors.
- Develop oral and written communication skills.

Goal 1: Stude	Goal 1: Students will be CLINICALLY COMPETENT radiologic technologist.									
Student Learning Outcomes	Tool	Benchmark			Resi	ılts			Met/ Unmet	Analysis/ Action
1.1 Students will perform quality radiographic procedures.	A. RADS 4611 (Clinic 4): Clinical Instructor Evaluation of Student Q16: Quality of work and performance	100% of students will score at least 3.5/5 on the quality of work and performance question.	N Met % Mean Range				2016 25 24 96 3.96 3-4	2015 32 100 4.25 4-5	Unmet	One student scored below a 3.5. This student was counseled during evaluations. The year-to-year trend was dropping, so all students were counseled as to importance of improving quality of work.
	B. RADS 3310 (Positioning I): Comprehensive Lab Final Exam	100% of students will score 85 or higher	N Met % Mean Range				2017 54 21 38 81.5 65-95	2016 35 18 51 82.2 17-98	Unmet	Faculty will increase lab times during class, add more practice labs, review sessions and provide supplemental material for tutor.
	C. RADS 3820 (Positioning II): Comprehensive Lab Final Exam	100% of students will score 85 or higher	N Met % Mean Range					2016 26 21 81 81.76 43- 100	Unmet	New tool for was 2016. Students are encouraged to review answers they missed for each test immediately after submitting the test, and ask faculty if they are still unsure as to why they missed any questions. Students are advised to go to free tutoring. Students are required to complete remediation with faculty for all tests that they are unsuccessful.

										Faculty will schedule a comprehensive review at end of semester before finals
1.2 Students	A. RADS 3840	100% of students		2017	2016	2015	2014	2013	Unmet	Will offer review of
will develop	(Advanced Patient	will score 85 or	N		21	35	32	30		content prior to final
assessment	Care): Comprehensive	higher	Met		17					exam. Also will
skills of a	Final Exam		%		81	86	92	100		perform test analysis
radiographer.			Mean		90	92	87	93		on tests.
			Range		65-96	72-	68-	77-		
						100	100	100		
	B. RADS 3820	100% of students							Unmet	Faculty will increase
	(Positioning 2): Trauma	will score 85 or		2017	2016	2015	2014	2012		lab times during class,
	lab scenario	Higher.	N		26	36	30	29		add more practice labs,
			Met		22					review sessions and
			%		91	95	97	62		provide supplemental
			Mean		92	93	90	91		material for tutor.
			Range		82-	81-	76-	72-		
					100	100	100	98		
Goal 2: Stude	nts will demonstrate C		skills.							
Student	Tool	Benchmark			Res	ults			Met/	Analysis/
Learning									Unmet	Action
Outcomes										
2.1 Students	A. RADS 3820	100% of students		2017	2016	2015	2014	2013	Unmet	Students continue to
will evaluate	(Positioning 2): Trauma	will score 85 or	N		26	36	30	30		struggle with this
a clinical	lab scenario	higher	Met							assignment. Faculty
situation and			%		91	95	97	100		will add more
perform			Mean		92	93	90	91		discussion.
accordingly			Range		82-	81-	76-	85-		
using critical					100	100	100	100		
thinking skills.	B. RADS 4510	100% of students							Met	Every student met the
SKIIIS.	(Professional Imaging	will score 85 or		2017	2016	2015	2014	2013		benchmark. Will
	Practices): Clinical	higher	N		33	31	24	26		continue to monitor.
	Scenario Assignment		Mean		98	96	97.5	95.77		
			Range		95-	80-	90-	80-		
			_							
			%		100 100	100 97	100 100	100 92		

2.2 Students	A. RADS 4610 (Quality	100% of students		2017	2016	2015	2014	2013	Unmet	Will review
will propose a	Management): QC	will score 85 or	N		32	32	24	26		instructions to be sure
plan to	project	higher	Met		23					they are clear. Will also
respond to			%		71.8	87.5	79	92		get feedback from
imaging			Mean		88.8	93.5	89.2	94		students.
department			Range		0-100	78-	60-	75-		
scenarios.						100	100	100		
	B. ALHE 4630	100% of students	_						Met	Every student met the
	(Healthcare	will score 85 or		2017	2016	2015	2014	2013		benchmark. Will
	Organization and	higher	N		31	24	22	31		continue to monitor.
	Management): Mgmt		Met		31	24	22	31		
	Case Study Project		%		100	100	100	100		
			Mean		93	97	92	92.34		
			Range		88-	93-	88-	86-		
					100	100	100	100		

Goal 3: Students will demonstrate an understanding of PROFESSIONALISM.

Student Learning Outcomes	Tool	Benchmark			Res	Met/ Unmet	Analysis/ Action			
3.1 Students	A. ALHE 3840	100% of students		2017	2016	2015	2014	2013	Met	Every student met the
will	(Advanced Pt. Care):	will score 85 or	N		21	35	32	30		benchmark.
demonstrate	Service Learning Project	higher	Met		100					
service to the			%		100	77	98	96		
profession			Mean		99	96	96	96		
and the			Range		90-	0-100	80-	65-		
community.					100		100	100		
	B. RADS 4511 (Clinic	100% of students							Met	Every student met the
	4): LSRT Participation	will score 85 or		2017	2016	2015	2014	2013		benchmark.
	Project	higher	N		33	25	24	30		
			Met		33	25	24	30		
			%		100	100	100	100		
			Mean		100	100	100	100		
			Range		100	100	100	100		

3.2 Students will integrate adherence to professional behaviors.	A. RADS 4611 (Clinic 5): Clinical Instructor Evaluation of Student Q2: Professional Behavior	100% of students will score an average of at least 4/5.	N Met % Mean Range				2017	2016 33 33 100 4.74 4.14- 5.0	Met	New tool for 2016. Faculty will continue to discuss this tool with clinical instructors.
	B. RADS 3911 (Clinic	100% of students		2020	2010	2018	2017	2016	Met	All students met the benchmark.
	3): Faculty Evaluation of Student Q2:	will score an average of at least	N	2020	2019	2018	2017	2016 24		benchmark.
	Professional Behavior	4/5.	Met				21	100		
			%				100	100		
			Mean				4.5	5		
			Range				4-5	5		

Goal 4: Students will demonstrate the ability to COMMUNICATE effectively.

Student Learning	Tool	Benchmark			Res	Met/ Unmet	Analysis/ Action			
4.1 Develop oral communicati on skills.	A. RADS 4611 (Clinic 5): Clinical Instructor Evaluation of Student Q4: Communication with patients	100% of students score an average of at least 4/5.	N Met % Mean Range	2020	2019	2018	2017	2016 33 97 4.93 3.86- 5.0	Unmet	New tool for 2016. Faculty will discuss this tool with clinical instructors.
	B. RADS 4611 (Clinic 5): Clinical Instructor Evaluation of Student Q5: Communication with technologists	100% of students will score an average of at least 4/5.	N Met % Mean Range	2019	2019	2018	2017	2016 33 100 4.86 4.0- 5.0	Met	New tool for 2016. Faculty will continue to discuss this tool with clinical instructors.

	C. RADS 4611 (Clinic	100% of students		2020	2019	2018	2017	2016	Met	New tool for 2016.
	5): Faculty Eval of	will score an	N				_	24		
	Student Q4:	average of at least	Met							
	Communication with	4/5.	%					100		
	patients	,	Mean					4.67		
			Range					4.0-		
								5.0		
	D. RADS 4611 (Clinic	100% of students				ı	I.	<u> </u>	Met	New tool for 2016.
	5): Faculty Eval of	will score an		2020	2019	2018	2017	2016		
	Student Q5:	average of at least	N					24		
	Communication with	4/5.	Met							
	technologists		%					100		
			Mean					5		
			Range					5.0		
4.2	A. RADS 4510	100% of students		2017	2016	2015	2014	2013	Unmet	8 students scored
Demonstrate	(Professional Imaging	will score at least	N		33	30	24	24		below 85. 6 of these
written	Practices): Senior paper	85.	Met		25					were due to APA
communicati			%		88	85	88	45		issues, 2 papers were
on skills.			Mean		91	90	98	83		submitted late.
			Range		38-	80-	82-	40-		Faculty will explain the
					100	100	100	100		guidelines and
			%		88	85	88	45		expectations better.
										Provide an APA
										tutorial.
	B. RADS 4530	100% of students							Met	Every student met the
	Radiation Protection):	will score at least		2017	2016	2015	2014	1	MCC	benchmark.
	Brochure Assignment	85.	N	24	35	24	25	-		Demonmar in
			Met	24	34			-		
			%	100	97	100	92	-		
			Mean	97	98	95	94	1		
			Range	85-	80-	90-	83-	1		
				100	100	100	100			

Summary of Decisions

I. Students will be CLINICALLY COMPETENT radiologic technologist.

All five measures for this for this outcome were unmet. For the first benchmark, only one student did not achieve the benchmark. Therefore, faculty decided no changes were necessary at this time. The second benchmark, was also unmet. Faculty will increase lab times during class, add more practice labs, review sessions and provide supplement material to the tutor. In the last benchmark, a new tool was introduced. For the fourth benchmark, faculty will offer a review of content prior to final exam. Also test analysis will be performed on the tests. For the final benchmark, faculty will increase lab times during class, add more practice labs, review sessions and provide supplement material to the tutor. Therefore, faculty decided no changes were necessary at this time. It is expected that the outcomes for this measure will likely continue at this same level or improve.

II. Students will demonstrate CRITICAL THINKING skills.

Two measures met the benchmark and two did not. For the two that were unmet, the first unmet benchmark has been a struggle for some time. Faculty will increase lab times during class, add more practice labs, review sessions and provide supplement material to the tutor. For the other unmet benchmark, faculty will review the assignment instructions to be sure they are clear and will also get feedback from students. For the two met benchmarks, an upward trend was demonstrated. Faculty will continue to monitor these two benchmarks.

III. Students will demonstrate an understanding of PROFESSIONALISM.

All four benchmarks were met. One of the benchmarks is a new tool for this assessment cycle. The other three benchmarks have been used for some time. Faculty will not be making any changes at this time and will continue to monitor.

IV. Students will demonstrate the ability to COMMUNICATE effectively.

Two of the six benchmarks were unmet. Of the two that were unmet, one utilized a new tool. Faculty will continue to monitor this tool. For the other unmet benchmark, 8 students scored below an 85 on the paper, 6 of these were due to APA issues and two papers were submitted late. In the future, faculty will provide written guidelines and an APA tutorial. For the four benchmarks that were met, every student met the benchmark. All of the benchmarks for this tool are new for this academic year, therefore, no changes will be made at this time.

Overall, faculty felt that even though all of the benchmarks in the assessment plan are not being met, the standards that have been set are high and will not always be possible to achieve. Therefore, at this time, no changes were made. However, the measures will continue to be monitored and discussed by the Assessment committee.

Approved by:

Dr. Dana Clawson, DNS, APRN, WHNP-BC
Dean, College of Nursing and Allied Health

June 6, 2016